

Using Relational Problems to Teach Property-Based Testing

1. MOTIVATE

Relational problems have inputs that admit more than one valid output.

These problems are already common in computing education. Property based testing can handle their uncertainty!

Examples

Sorting By Key

An unstable sort-by-key function admits multiple valid outputs for inputs where two elements have the same key.

Minimum Spanning Tree

A graph may admit multiple minimum spanning trees.

Graph Shortest Path

There may be more than one shortest path between two vertices.

2. TEACH

No QuickCheck Required !

Scaffold PBT

Given some specified function:

specified-fun :: Input → Output

...implement these two functions:

is-valid :: (Input, Output) → Bool

Satisfied if the specification admits the given Output as a valid result for Input.

generate-input :: Number → Input

Produce a random Input of a given size for the system-under-test.

PBT-Focused Assignments

We teach this scaffold with assignments *focused* on property based testing:

- Students are given a spec.
- Students do *not* implement the spec.
- Instead, students implement `is-valid` and `generate-input`.

3. EVALUATE

We apply property-based thinking to evaluate where students struggle.

For instance, an `is-valid` for a function that sorts people by their age must enforce:

Same Size

Input and Output have the same size.

Same Elements

Input and Output have the same elements.

Ordered

Output is in ascending order.

We discover students' difficulties with PBT by constructing test cases where *all but one* of these properties are satisfied; e.g:

```
is-valid([Prsn("Ash",1), Prsn("Cal",9)],  
         [Prsn("Cal",9), Prsn("Ash",1)])  
is false
```

This test case detects *only* when ordering is not correctly enforced by `is-valid`, and doesn't care if *Same Size* or *Same Elements* isn't enforced.

Focused tests like this, for each property, give us fine-grained insight into which properties students failed (or even forgot) to enforce.